

taconilla oi sid oT touta e eb

nomes largers 8/20/02

il animas en al ce

UNITED S ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

าดวาษ 6-1 ๆ เกราะ จากสมวัติสามารถท่าย ค.ศ. (ค.ศ. 25 ค.ศ. 25

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C., 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER FIRST NAMED APPLICANT. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE nt to both (6) 9 asti e efekçes√î irosast The same of the first of a population THE PLANT OF THE PROPERTY BY MIC Te di belliosus tresecting to 04, 6135, (95 U. 3 G. 13C. the personal attendence of the personal attendence of Carrier States and Demonstrate Office will be based broken being ie inskripting in indigitar to tybolica in seek in seek in inspiration in াস্থাট্যাল স্থান ব্যালন আনু লগতে বাং বিশ্বার বিভিন্ন বিভাগ **ART UNIT** uulgit, si võiguust pialgimmeitillaan alpenominit ti vamiuu, sid mismasin alassuutas stasmula kouma en mangil and a state of the particular continuous and analysis of the continuous conti t<mark>o new unuprami no vieta de materia com un com</mark>encia de como como como como como como de como como como como como DATE MAILED: Talking Contract **INTERVIEW SUMMARY** "E. Por Commission of the Property Substance Com-ক্ষান্তবাৰ পৰি এক বৰ্মে প্ৰথমি সম্প্ৰান্ত নাৰ নাৰ নাৰ নাৰ ক্ষাত্ৰ armed from a more than of the first of the contraction of the contract probability and a basis page. Dispositions reported and All participants (applicant; applicant's representative; PTO: personnel); weaveners to be compared to the beneath and tenderate virous and the action of the continue of the continue of the continue of the parties at the parties of the parties of the parties of the continue of the parties of . कुण कारण मान्या कारण सामान प्राप्त कारण का एक एक एक एक एक प्राप्त का प्राप्त कारण है। उन्हार कारण कारण कारण क ht Qaldilla is ad meren hij largeren am ga. (4) ku todler get atelser, int an αποκένου atelses (ελείδειε telses). Εξυργανίν the production allowers to the model as the condition of the case district, the form skould be assist promity that the telephonal interview rather than with the construction of .Type: - 🔲 Telephonic 😘 Personal (copy is given to 🔲 applicant 🔯 applicant's representative) hierable has be nedstanced and ashiverignative fearts. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: Name of sonlicent -Name of examinar -Date of interview Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.) Agr ement was reached. was not reached. An indication whether ar not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted An identification of the claims discussed An identification of the appoint prior art occursed Claim(s) discussed:____ of amendments or district surfaced as lawrig allowable). (Agreements as to allowablish are tentified and do not Strict further action by the examiner to the contrary) Identification of prior art discussed: The signature of the examener was conducted the interview -Nemosol other Patent and Tradency's Gillne regionals, about it very refer out to constitute out or against this responsibility is tracked and the description of the Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: his white in accord the subfaces of the principle in o tresni A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof, must be attached.) is an incomparation of the second of the substance of the interview of the second of the second of the second of the substance of the interview of the second o Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MREP. Section 7313.04). If a response to the last office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW Planted to the property of the prop तक्षत्र मार्थः । तत्र सम्बद्धाः चन्त्रः । । अस् 2. 🔲 Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to 'each of the objections,' 🤟 rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of add it the interview unless box 1 above is also checked the transfer of the property of the pr in the fire to the contribution of the contribution of the second of the second principles and the contribution of the contrib Examiner. Not Sayou must reign this form unless it is an attachment to another form a many and the sayout the s marthy or course in the foreign materials. FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-98)

	A Company of the Comp	our with report to an application must be made of re-	cord in the
A complete written statement as to the substance of	any race-ro-race or relebutions interview	ew with regard to an application must be made of rec	POLOTILINA
application, whether or not an agreement with the ex	raminer was reached at the interview.		
ipplication. Whether or not all agreement with the or	Administration to additional actions and actions actions and actions actions and actions actions and actions actions actions and actions and actions actions actions actions and actions actions actions and actions actio		

		§1,133 Interviews -		
TUINEY DOLF 180	1	NUMBER A FEEDBARD (CHEEP * * * *	FILLIO DATE	APPLICATION NUMBER
		والمرازاة والمعتبين والمعالم والمهيون والمحاط متعتبينا فالميهوا والميهوس	er in an de manderske managementerske i prosessioner.	- Angenius - managementer 24 van anderseur in separationens in
				المراجع الموارية والمراجع المراجع المر

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

nagmun nagas.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner s responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability. INTERVIEW SURMACTS

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812:01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances

dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication. Type: [] Telephonic & Personal (copy is given to applicant & applicant & opposerés re; notismonisquivolle) et la notispropar not sebtvorq moral entre

-Serial Number of the application

- Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: 1 Yes (21%) tryes, and description: Name of applicant Name of examiner
- Date of interview =Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy (a) mis() of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the Identification of prior art discussed: Tricts Line
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to it an agreement was reaction, or any other comments. Light with the comments with the comments with the comments with the examiner vitarious and the interview in each case unless that the examiner vitarious and the interview in each case unless that the examiner vitarious and the interview in each case unless that the examiner vitarious and the interview in each case unless that the examiner vitarious and the interview in each case unless that the examiner vitarious and the examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form with not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- o an populication of specific prior and proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed in 1886 and 1886
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or could elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature. or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to

emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
by argeheral indication of any other pertinent matter by a contract of the feel indication of the first persuasive and feel indication of the first persuasive to the examiner,

The first persuasive to the examiner to the examiner,

The first persuasive to the examiner to

applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid Since 1/6 Examiner's Interview summary above (underlying any attachments) reflected a counterful (3) 25,1.1,370,70); noticing any attachments reflected a counterful (3) 25,1.1,370,70); noticing a counterful (3) 25,1.1,370,70; noticing a counterful (3)

rejections and requirements that may be present a tree lest Office action, and since the ciking are now that the instruments to empleted form is gained to the less of the last of the las

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his of her version of the statement attributed to him in the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and FORM (FTOL-413 (REV.1-50) the examiner's initials.

Agreement I was reached to was not reached. The